<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Responses</th>
<th>Partial Responses</th>
<th>Survey Visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1: How supportive or unsupportive are you of the proposed outdoor facilities in the draft masterplan.

For each facility option, please indicate your support, where 1 is very unsupportive and 4 is very supportive.

Answered: 247  Skipped: 49
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>1 - Very unsupportive</th>
<th>2 - Unsupportive</th>
<th>3 - Supportive</th>
<th>4 - Very supportive</th>
<th>Don't know / don't mind</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adventurous play area (for older children)</td>
<td>9.21% (21)</td>
<td>4.82% (11)</td>
<td>22.81% (52)</td>
<td>57.89% (132)</td>
<td>5.26% (12)</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced toddler play area</td>
<td>7.66% (17)</td>
<td>0.9% (2)</td>
<td>24.77% (55)</td>
<td>61.71% (137)</td>
<td>4.95% (11)</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved cycle routes</td>
<td>7.66% (17)</td>
<td>3.15% (7)</td>
<td>28.38% (63)</td>
<td>58.11% (129)</td>
<td>2.7% (6)</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved pedestrian routes</td>
<td>6.88% (15)</td>
<td>3.21% (7)</td>
<td>30.28% (66)</td>
<td>56.88% (124)</td>
<td>2.75% (6)</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved sports pitches - football</td>
<td>12.67% (28)</td>
<td>6.79% (15)</td>
<td>23.08% (51)</td>
<td>53.85% (119)</td>
<td>3.62% (8)</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-use games area (MUGA)</td>
<td>13.84% (31)</td>
<td>6.7% (15)</td>
<td>23.66% (53)</td>
<td>52.23% (117)</td>
<td>3.57% (8)</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor gym &amp; trim trail</td>
<td>7.76% (17)</td>
<td>3.65% (8)</td>
<td>25.57% (56)</td>
<td>57.99% (127)</td>
<td>5.02% (11)</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skatepark / BMX track</td>
<td>20.81% (46)</td>
<td>13.12% (29)</td>
<td>20.81% (46)</td>
<td>38.46% (85)</td>
<td>6.79% (15)</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor table tennis tables</td>
<td>14.68% (32)</td>
<td>10.09% (22)</td>
<td>27.98% (61)</td>
<td>38.99% (85)</td>
<td>8.26% (18)</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaelic football pitch and training areas</td>
<td>19.92% (47)</td>
<td>10.59% (25)</td>
<td>14.41% (34)</td>
<td>43.64% (103)</td>
<td>11.44% (27)</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Comment**

1. I think the Gaelic football pitch area could be used in a way that would benefit more local High Barnet residents.

I have concerns about the facilities within the community centre space.

2. I object to the skater park being built as I see it as an attractive area for youths to hang around until all hours drinking and smoking drugs which in turn will make the area feel unsafe and undesirable.

3. I object to a skater Park especially so close to the ho

4. I appreciate football/bmx can be unisex....but these suggestions are mainly male dominated (gelic football 100%).....netball courts.......more uses for girls

5. I feel whilst it is important to provide facilities for recreation, the positioning of the skate park is wrong. It is too close to residential properties and would create unnecessary noise and light pollution (If flood lit). In my experience of having lived near a skate park in the past, they act as a hangout point for older youths to sit, drink and smoke weed. I have concerns that the same would happen here. If a skate park is a must at least site it in the middle of the playing fields, well away from houses.

6. But not always in the locations shown on the draft masterplan.
7. I have answered very unsupported as again the proposals would bring increased traffic to an already congested area, on top of the already approved academy school.

8. Insufficient regard to increased traffic and difficulty crossing from Barnet Lane.

9. As a local resident with 2 young children I think it is great something is finally being done to improve facilities at the playing fields.

10. These questions are not very helpful and aimed towards approval. We may approve of something smaller/larger more sympathetic to the green belt.

11. This 'masterplan' to develop on protected Green Belt land is an absolute disgrace and the Conservative led Barnet Council administration should be ashamed of themselves for even considering it. The proposals come on top of the heavily criticised Ark Academy development, and would prove a nightmare for nearby residents. Also the lack of any proper consultation (residents living within less than a quarter of a mile of this 'masterplan' had no knowledge of this and were not informed of this development by the Council).

12. Please preserve green belt without building concrete building, hindering our beautiful views.

13. Gaelic football is not a sport widely played in Britain, let alone Barnet. People travelling in would cause yet more congestion around the area.

14. I support the use of plain tarmac paths. I only mention this in case there is pressure to go for something more rustic, but it wouldn't be as practical in avoiding mud. The little bit of rolled gravel is OK in low-traffic areas.

15. While additional facilities are important, it is equally equally not to lose the natural green spaces which also contribute to healthy living with cleaner air and giving children access to larger areas to play in.

16. The facilities aimed at older children are particularly important as there is virtually no provision for them currently in High Barnet. The skatepark and adventure playground are vital to encourage non competitive activity and fitness for those who don’t enjoy traditional team sports like football.

17. We need activities and sports grounds for children especially the gaelic pitches and play areas. It is so hard to find areas within the borough that provide these facilities amongst non stop flats- what about getting our children out in the fresh air learning new skills.

18. MUGA need to be supervised/covered by cctv otherwise they always seem to have large gangs congregating around them.

19. The proposed sports facilities are excellent idea. The Gaelic facility is a different and inspired proposal. Not sure about table tennis suggestion - not really a weather proof idea.

20. Need indoor sports hall, not got on within an hours travelling time, outdoor facilities are unused for large parts of the year. We have sports fields, we have outdoor gyms, we have a great indoor table tennis centre down the road, we do not have an indoor sports hall with in one hours travelling distance.

21. Skatepark/BMX track should not be located in close proximity to residents' homes.

22. Position of Skatepark/BMX track is directly adjacent to neighbouring gardens. This will be noisy. Position should be away from properties.

23. I think that a tick box questionnaire is unable to truly represent the public view, indeed it helps to distort...
In my view I don’t feel there has been representative consultation, the choice of location and design is poor and whilst there would be I am sure huge demand for a skatepark and other facilities for today’s youth, the suggested location is not the best. If we are to have a community park and hub then let's get local stakeholders together and plan this with thought and care

24. The proposed outdoor facilities sound fantastic. The area is in real need of decent play areas for local families.

25. I think these facilities will be welcomed by all the families living nearby. It will be good to restore the pitches to the condition they were in originally. Not sure about the buildings as I think they will spoil the environment & should be provided by The Ark Academy

26. I would prefer to try to leave the green belt in it’s natural state. The proposed bmx park will ruin the peaceful green area and would also bring anti social behaviour. There appears to be enough development in the green space at this area and next to the ark academy will just be too much intrusion

27. Judging from parks visited with BMX/skateboard tracks these are very noisy areas. The area for this being proposed dips directly behind residents garden fences. Surely this would be better situated away from these gardens. I am a resident who directly backs onto the park from Grasvenor Avenue and would be very unhappy if this facility was so close to my garden when there are other options.

28. There was an adventure area built previously in the park, this was all trashed and a waste of money. As for building a skate park or BMX area, this will also be ruined. There are youths present in the park until 0300-0400 hours now, screaming, shouting, drinking, letting off fireworks and playing music. This will just give them more reason to be there.

29. paths shown to Skatepark/BMX track are only pedestrian suitable (as shown on map)

30. making by children to play and exercise

31. I am supportive of improved facilities but feel this scheme is too big with some important issues.
  - Skate park is located where it will cause disturbance to nearby houses. Further its hidden by adventurous play making parents’ supervision difficult and possibly allowing bullying. Much better near toddler play area and outdoor gym possibly instead of one junior pitch.
  - Proposed parking is badly and dangerously sited -see last page

32. Crazy to locate a skate park and BMX track next to houses when you have so much space to use.

33. I think it is vital for Barnet to provide outdoor areas for children to play and young adults/adults to exercise in a safe and engaging way with designated equipment such as the playground and calisthenics/trim trail. I can see a huge benefit in this to the community as a whole and to people’s fitness and wellbeing

34. As a father to two young girls, who likes to exercise but finds little time, I believe the amenity of an outdoor gym is of great benefit to the borough. This can be used by everyone and anyone regardless of age or gender. I have seen examples in Primrose Hill, Hampstead Heath, Highbury and Royal and they are of a great benefit to the local community and are very popular providing the set up is done right - these would be good case studies to use.

The addition of an adventure playground would provide something the area doesn’t currently have and an improved toddler area is greatly needed.

These three facilities alone literally provide play and exercise areas from a very young age through to adulthood and show the longevity that these facilities would provide to the community
35. With the new school being built the traffic is already going to get worse. There are already pitches and floodlights, if you build more the residents of Grasvenor will be even more disrupted. Where is Grasvenor Junior school as seems to have disappeared. The playing fields should be kept as open space to be enjoyed by all - Gaelic Football!!! Really.....

36. Unsupportive of additional car parking spaces taking away green land.

Perhaps leave the intended space as grass area and if car park overgrounding is an issue after new facilities are added then the car parking can be added later if needed. Or perhaps you could put more frequent buses on the road or better cycle infrastructure.

37. Whilst I don't have an objection to a skate park and bmx track, I would suggest that it should be placed well away from houses and back gardens due to noise levels.

38. MUCH BETTER CYCLE ROUTES PLEASE!!! What we have at the moment is pathetic, it's very hard to cycle with the whole family.

39. My main concern would be to do with traffic congestion getting into and out of the site with more users. We already face the likelihood of severe congestion in that area when the school which is being built opens next year. You need to ensure that there are sufficient parking spaces and that there won't be too much traffic gridlocking the area. I don't know how you will manage to get the correct balance between the two, but you must make sure it does!

40. Whilst I'm not against a skate park/Bmx track, the location needs to be away from housing, due to noise pollution.

41. I am very much against the skate park being in the position it is. It will be very noisy especially as it is being built in a residential area. I.e. behind my house.

42. It's a really good idea to have so many different sports activities located in such close proximity to one another.

43. The location of the skate park is un workable it should not be put next to residential area

44. Where does the table tennis club fit into all this?

45. This looks incredible, definitely needed in Barnet

46. Cannot understate just how keen my teenage children are to see a skate park in the locality - there simply aren’t enough of these attractions in Barnet for young people to use.

47. Huge demand for all of these outdoor facilities to be available for local children and teenagers.

48. I think that a Gaelic pitch is a fantastic idea as it promotes fitness and fun, for children, young adults and adults.

As we are well aware the country lacks places where young children can go to exercise and we can battle the obesity epidemic together. Gaelic is an up and coming sport which encourages people of all cultures and diversity. It can also be used as a football pitch when not being used which will encourage local schools to use for lots of different activities and sports.

49. I support this facility for Gaelic as my children play this game & have been for over 20 years. If this grant for the Gaelic pitch was passed, it would mean that we had a clubhouse to host award ceremonies etc. To promote positivity.

It also provides stability to a club and offers children and young adults a place they can come together and feel part of a family. Unfortunately this country has lost youth clubs and a sense of community having this would provide a positive environment for growing as individuals. It would also entice parents and children to be involved in such a fantastic and upcoming sport. The location seems fantastic in the centre of plenty of schools, and people using the rest of the facilities. If granted this would promote such a sport. In Gaelic we welcome all backgrounds, beliefs.
50. I have seen outdoor table tennis tables left unused frequently. Weather in this country does not help. Indoor ones are already here.

51. As a family we use Brook Farm a lot for learning to cycle and I believe the play area the park as a whole is underutilised. The addition of the Gaelic pitches and playground will be a good focal point to encourage usage of the park. I think it is important that the cycle lanes are promoted for transport to the pitches promoting health well being of children of the borough.

52. Too much focus on football pitches, a wider variety of sports/activities should be catered for especially those that can be done as an individual not as a group/team.

53. I look forward to the new facilities which will benefit the community greatly.

54. Definitely support improved facilities for older children as there are not many public spaces available for teenagers upwards but lots of local facilities for primary age.

55. Gaelic games is a very family orientated sport that brings all communities together.

56. Great that you’re including all sports in the master plan, good work - better than rich developers getting their hands on the land!

57. MY SON PLAYS GAELIC FOOTBALL

58. Great for London GAA

59. Any organised sport is vital to focus children in exercise and build friendships in team sports outside their school and community friends. This builds a diverse network for them. I also think outdoor public gyms are fantastic and are always utilised, gym membership is expensive and they give everybody the opportunity to get some exercise.

60. I’m very supportive of any sports and recreational facilities that are safe, well maintained and available to everyone for proper and respectful use. I have a vested interest in the Gaelic football facilities. What about public transport links? Will they be improved to factor in the increased usage of this space and its facilities?

61. Gaelic football pitch would be great for the community

62. Parks ideally should be green spaces football and Gaelic pitches Parhways to encourage use and commute through but not take the limited green space

63. Parks should be predominantly green football and Gaelic pitches we don’t want extra paths cutting them up existing paths should be good repair and safe. Walking routes and cycle ways illuminated during dark winters. Formal sports football and Gaelic will keep parks used as games played to fixtures whatever the weather.

64. Gaelic football facility appears to be the best use of this space with many benefits beyond a simple playing area

65. 4

66. Gaelic football is supported by a large community and having facilities for this would be of great benefit for young children and adults.

67. I think a Gaelic football pitch is a great idea as it’s very popular sport and one I think the borough would benefit from.

68. St Kiemans is a fantastic community club that I gets kids of all ages and from all denominations. They would be a fantastic asset to the community.
69. 100% support all endeavours to create opportunities for young people to have access to sporting facilities. I would like to say, however, that there are no shortage of opportunities for boys to play football, rugby etc while there is generally poor access for teenage girls. The majority of sports proposed here will be accessed by boys. Is there space for a netball or tennis court? I support the plans for a new Gaelic football pitch as provision in this part of north London is quite poor. From experience, living next to playing fields and a club house, I feel that football can attract rowdy behaviour, largely part to the drinking culture that surrounds it. It would be nice to have playing fields that aren’t dominated by football and that other sports, for all genders and age groups are prioritised.

70. Good for kids to play the

71.

72. The plan is inclusive of many sports which is a very good idea

73. I think a Gaelic football pitch is a fantastic idea and a very popular sport

74. These proposals sound amazing - a real asset to the young people of the area, to give them a place to go and away from trouble, especially in light of the recent spate of knife crime. Well done on being proactive and valuing our youth ahead of mass development

75. This will be a fantastic asset - very exciting for both my two young sons, my wife and myseff!!!

76. I think having different facilities for different activities is absolutely crucial. There should be more than only football pitches which is why I support the introduction of these other facilities

77. I am concerned that the provision of additional football pitches and Gaelic football site will crowd the park and make it less pleasant for lical residents and dog walkers.

78. I think the location of the BMX park nerds to be revised. I am supportive of the idea but worry that the location is isolated and hidden. This has the potential to become an unsocial place that people loiter and encourage crime if not properly lit or supervised. I think this could be easily remedied if the location was closer to the Mays lane end or even moved to Victoria park where there will be a leisure centre and the staff there can supervise.

79. Why disrupt a beautiful open space.

80. As a parent I'm very excited at the prospect of these facilities on my doorstep

81. outdoor table tennis doesn't work as its windy

82. I think that it will be a great improvement to the area.

83. More consideration needs to be given over the amount of field area that will be lost if the masterplan goes ahead as planned. There would only seem to be one area of field left to the south of the adventure playground. Is there a need for four junior pitches when a large portion has already been built upon by the hadley wood sports trust and two further pitches built by the ark academy, six pitches in a small area not including the gaelic football area. At least the two easterly Junior pitches should be removed to preserve that area of field. The large area used for the Gaelic football pitch is concerning let alone the extra use for the training pitch. Is the training pitch really required? How much use will a gaelic football pitch actually get? Is that the best use of space. If this does need to be built here surely the better option is to keep the pitches to the northern part of King Georges playing field and the southern section left untouched as a peaceful dog walking area shielded from the development by the line of trees and remove the training field entirely. The location of the multi use sports hub seems out of place, it feels placed in the middle of the field, could this not be placed where the skate park is as that is an area closer to residential houses and a better place to build a structure. This will also remove the noise from the skate park away from the residential houses.

84. There doesn't appear to be any consideration given to electric vehicle charging bays. Considering how many electric cars there are on the roads now, and how many more there are likely to be over the next few years, I'd expect to see Barnet Council embracing this. They do not need to be free to charge.
Also, since this will put additional pressure on the traffic in the area (new school, upgraded facilities at the playing fields, etc), will the transport plan include looking at things like bus route changes (improved) and promoting other means of transport - for example, secure cycle parking and. I'm very supportive over the additional parking spaces, but these don't necessarily need to be spaces that are free all day; especially if you have Electric Vehicle charging spaces, there would need to be some deterrent in place preventing any car from occupying that space if it is not using the EV charging facility.

85. Would it be possible to lock the entrances to the park at night to limit anti social behaviour

86. Very very unsupportive for the 2 Junior Football pitches (key number 1 in blue) to the right of the plan opposite Grasvenor Avenue and Western Way. Too close to homes in Western Way and Grosvenor Avenue as this will create noise and effect the peace and well being of residents. No need for so many football pitches given 2 new pitches were recently introduced along Barnet lane. Also Skate and BMX park too close to residents homes in Fairfield Way. Again will create noise and effect the peace. It seems too many facilities are being proposed within the current open space effecting all residents in the vicinity also effecting wildlife.

87. Splash Park would be amazing

88. I really welcome the new improved toddlers playground and adventurous play area which are much needed in this park,
Q2: How supportive or unsupportive are you of the proposed indoor facilities in the draft masterplan.

For each facility option, please indicate your support, where 1 is very unsupportive and 4 is very supportive.

Answered: 237  Skipped: 59
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>1 - Very unsupportive</th>
<th>2 - Unsupportive</th>
<th>3 - Supportive</th>
<th>4 - Very supportive</th>
<th>Don't know / don't mind</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Café (including toilets)</td>
<td>8.3% (19)</td>
<td>3.06% (7)</td>
<td>17.03% (39)</td>
<td>69.0% (158)</td>
<td>2.62% (6)</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community rooms</td>
<td>8.84% (19)</td>
<td>5.58% (12)</td>
<td>23.72% (51)</td>
<td>58.14% (125)</td>
<td>3.72% (8)</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clip and climb (indoor climbing)</td>
<td>9.77% (21)</td>
<td>5.12% (11)</td>
<td>22.79% (49)</td>
<td>54.88% (118)</td>
<td>7.44% (16)</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Use Activity Space</td>
<td>15.09% (35)</td>
<td>5.17% (12)</td>
<td>15.09% (35)</td>
<td>61.64% (143)</td>
<td>3.02% (7)</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Comment**

44

1. The indoor space does not provide enough room for badminton - a very popular sport for which there are hardly any facilities in Barnet.

I like the idea of a climbing centre but feel the clip and climb would only be used by children - and does not offer genuine progression or challenge for adults, including those who would like to do bouldering. This is a growing activity but there is currently no bouldering centre in Barnet. A larger climbing centre would be of interest and could be used by both adults and children.

2. Ark Pioneer Academy promised, as part of its planning permission, to provide community access to its indoor and outdoor sports and leisure activities, which should include multi-use spaces, other activity and meeting rooms, so these would be unjustifiable on Barnet Playing Fields.

3. As previous increased traffic and parking congestion. I am supportive for a cafe and toilets as this would be a replacement for a facility that was on this site many years ago that was burnt down and never replaced.

4. Depends on the cost of the activities. There is not much here for older adults. Barnet Council offers very little for 50 plus - look at the discounts and facilities like swimming offered by Hertsmere and Enfield

5. why does the highlighting cover up the question? As before no mention of approval or not due to size /location

6. This 'masterplan' to develop on protected Green Belt land is an absolute disgrace and the Conservative led Barnet Council administration should be ashamed of themselves for even considering it. The proposals come on top of the heavily criticised Ark Academy development, and would prove a nightmare for nearby residents. Also the lack of any proper consultation (residents living within less than a quarter of a mile of this 'masterplan' had no knowledge of this and were not informed of this development by the Council).

7. Because of specialist equipment/training i think that the clip and clilm will be out of use regularly if not outsourced or adequately staffed

8. The proposal to include indoor sports is great. The cafe also a good community idea
9. We have activity spaces in our leisure centres already we do not have a indoor sports hall within one hours travelling distance

10. would need more detailed information

11. It exactly what the area needs - we've been saying this for years - great idea.

12. I believe the plan needs stakeholders input

13. I think the park would benefit from these facilities, particularly the cafe and toilets. I'm sure it would make the park more of a destination. The indoor climbing and studio space also sound like a benefit.

14. I feel the proposed building is far too big- happy for small cafe & toilets as there is nothing like it locally.

15. Are these going to have full security as the other buildings which have been in this park have all been burnt down or ruined?

16. Unsure this is the best location. An alternative might be where large gaelic football pitch proposed with larger car-park.

17. Utilize what you already have at brook farm away from residential areas.

18. Especially in the winter months it's difficult to find indoor activities for children of different age group in one local hub. Having one activity space in the given location would be beneficial for people who drive and those who rely on public transport. It would be great to have a cafe with toilet facilities to allow people to extend their stay with refreshments etc.

19. A cafe can be used by those using the indoor and outdoor facilities and toilet facilities are essential for the area especially as some of the facilities are aimed towards children.

Climbing/bouldering is a very popular activity but there aren't many facilities close to High Barnet. The nearest I believe is the Arch in Burnt Oak, so such a facility in the area could prove extremely popular.

Multi-use activity spaces offer great potential for a vast number of activities

20. Let local residents enjoy the space, be able to have a coffee and enjoy a walk along the river. There are few open spaces like this - should be treasured and kept.

21. The major problem with the plan is a lack of parking space around the BTTC. There is already a problem with parking for the existing football and this will make it worse.

22. My concern about toilets is obviously what all are thinking...who will maintain them and keep clean. My main concern is drug taking in these toilets

23. The indoor climbing facility is unique to the area.

24. How about utilizing the changing rooms at brook farm. That has been empty for years except for the squatters

25. Be nice if the cafe could do healthy kids food rather than chips with everything. a soft play or space with toys for young children to play near the cafe would be great

26. Absolutely brilliant

27. Wonderful additions to this green space which would be very welcome to this area,

28. Sounds great and well-needed. Presumably could support indoor tennis, badminton, 5 a side football too? It would be very beneficial to also provide American pool tables near the cafe, providing an enjoyable indoor area to relax and drink. Also, have squash courts been considered - Barnet is lacking courts for this popular sport.

29. Need to see detail plans for the multi-Use Activity Space
30. An ideal opportunity to set up an effective community hub for local people, the cafe and a variety of community rooms are particularly important.

31. Climbing is a great idea. Will the football changing rooms have showers?

32. Are all toilets, showers, corridors and doors wheelchair accessible? Are the doors easy to manage when your hands are full with wheelchairs, walking aids, pushchairs, toddlers, etc? will there be a buggy park somewhere? Preferably near the cafe so parents can situate themselves near the toddler play area as well. First aid facilities? CPR equipment? Showers in the changing rooms? Does the cafe have the option to open up the 2 exterior walls onto an outdoor eating area? Information kiosk and/or outdoor noticeboard for timetable of activities/classes? Will the changing rooms have interior access? parent/breastfeeding room? John Lewis at Brent Cross shopping centre have excellent toilets where a pushchair can fit in the toilet, there are nappy changing facilities, a toddler toilet and a standard toilet in the same space. There should be more than one of such toilets available, especially if the community centre is going to host child centric classes.

33. Changing rooms for outside pitches

34. The parks are outdoor spaces not for overdevelopment of closed building gyms.

35. 4

36. Y

37. Will use all of these

38. Very keen to encourage community use of the fields and to have different activities etc so having a cafe and a multi-use area will be crucial for that - bring it to life, enable whole families to come

39. I don’t think this site has the capacity to cope with the potential increased number of visitors if all these proposals are carried out. It would be too busy and noisy. There are good ideas here but it appears to be over development of a small site, particularly with the Ark Academy as well.

40. Very Very supportive of clip and climb project!

41. This will be brilliant

42. I think that it will be a great improvement to the park.

43. Any money used for community rooms or multi-use activity space should be put into existing locations/community halls such as the local 1st Barnet Scout group.

44. For the toilets - consideration may want to be given to having some form of unisex toilets... for example, some McDonald's have now got shared basin areas and then toilet cubicles which are unisex.
Q3: How supportive or unsupportive are you of the proposed layout and locations of facilities within the Draft Masterplan for Barnet and King George V Playing Fields?

Please select one answer from the list below.

Answered: 222  Skipped: 74

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very supportive</td>
<td>63.96%</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supportive</td>
<td>14.86%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsupportive</td>
<td>9.01%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very unsupportive</td>
<td>10.36%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know / don't mind</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4

Additional comment:

Answered: 42  Skipped: 254

1. Yes overall support this expect for the Gaelic football xxx

2. yeah!

3. Very very unsupportive for the 2 Junior Football pitches to the right of the plan opposite Grasvenor Avenue and Western Way. Too close to homes.

4. Much welcomed, new playgrounds and cafe. But too much of area taken up by pitches & not enough effort made to segregate development.
5. As mentioned in response to question 1, although I think the majority of the plan is good I think the location of some sections needs to be reconsidered.

6. Please ensure enough parking.

7. It seems to leave the open space unfettered or improved, and build on areas that are already built up, so good.

8. Not supportive of the additional 66 car parking spaces on the Barnet Playing Fields site.

9. Please proceed with this as soon as possible.

10. It will be a great improvement on what’s there and fantastic for the local community.

11. The layout of the plan for the whole sports hub is very good.

12. Would be of great benefit to the youth and help them to have wide interests in many activities.

13. Docs provide ltd info, e.g., layout of the gaa clubhouse, will the club have access to all facilities or just the clubhouse? are the only changing rooms in the main centre? in which case the route between changing rooms and pitches should be direct and

14. As stated, more should be done for all sports contested and played in our borough. The master plan shows to me that it’s an inclusive proposal and so the planners should be credited for their works. Good luck.

15. Barnet lane already suffers when the football complex and the BTTC are in full use - this plan will make this even worse.

16. Must resolve the issues concerning parking and entrance/exit to the BTTC and exiting football area.

17. The children's playgrounds are too close to the proposed second car park. We take kids to the park to get them away from exhaust fumes! Also if a toddler was to slip out of the gate, he/she might get into a car's path before they’re caught.

18. I think the layout is highly functional whilst also maintaining a natural flow for the Dollis Valley stream and plenty of greenspace/trees.

19. My children have become members of the St Kiernans GAA club (Girl 5 and Boy 7) and I think it is excellent that efforts are being made to find them a permanent home. Very inclusive and affordable sports option for kids.

20. Safe road crossings are urgently required from the west to access the site from Brook Valley Gardens.

21. Very exciting plans and hugely excited for the addition of a Gaelic football pitch which is well supported throughout the borough.

22. My concern is the skate park is to close to houses and should be relocated to a more open area.

23. Need to see the plan and work this out by looking at the site itself.

24. Cannot support the plan due to the skate park location. It needs to be away from houses.

25. The plans and location are incorrect with the existing buildings and parking.

26. Supportive of improvement but not unless scheme modified - see further comment.

27. The skate park should not be near houses.

28. Location of the skate park needs to change.

29. Too intrusive on this valued peaceful green space.

30. Is parking going to be free? Will the area be covered by CCTV?

31. I am unsupportive of the skate/bmx facilities due to the amount of noise and placement so close to residents gardens.

32. Not happy with positioning of skate park/bmx track.

33. Portis if it woke include and indoor sports hall.

34. The only problem I can see with the layout is the position of the BMX/skatepark. It is quite isolated and I would worry that it
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>The only problem I can see with the layout is the position of the BMX/skatepark. It is quite isolated and I would worry that it could attract gangs of children who may put off younger children accessing the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Adults and children of all ages would benefit from your proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Genuinely excited by the plans, what is shown at this point demonstrates real ambition to make something of real benefit to all of the community but particularly older children who currently have nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Not enough for women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>This is already used by dog walkers, cyclists, joggers and those walking to Totteridge or Barnet station and is chaotic at times. Will dogs be allowed off leads here?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Please don’t build on any more green land! It is already built up as it is around there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>As previously mentioned I am very unsupportive of the scheme mainly due to its location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Please see the Barnet Society’s separate submission for detailed comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>See previous comments regarding site of a skate park. This should be further from the residential houses on Grasvenor Avenue.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4: Overall, how supportive or unsupportive are you of the draft masterplan proposals for Barnet and King George V Playing Fields?

Please select one answer from the list below.

Answered: 223  Skipped: 73

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very supportive</td>
<td>69.06%</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supportive</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsupportive</td>
<td>8.97%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very unsupportive</td>
<td>6.73%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't mind / don't know</td>
<td>2.24%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q6

Additional comment:

Answered: 29  Skipped: 267

1. The whole area will become very congested and polluted especially with the new Ark Academy opening soon. The current road system cannot cope with so many facilities within a condensed area.

2. FAQs states re the gaelic pitch “This new provision will not affect the openness of the site nor will it stop anyone from enjoying the park.” seems wrong, I fail to see how this does not affect the openness as it takes up the entire field?

3. It’s needed in the area and surrounding areas the more for kids to do the better

4. Supportive if it includes the proposed Gaelic pitches

5. ~
Q7

Q5: If you have any further comments about the Draft Masterplan for Barnet and King George V Playing Fields, please provide them here.

Please type your response below.
1. Please add gates to the entrances of the park so they can be locked at night to limit anti social behaviour.

2. I

3. If you have any questions, contact me, Doug Mather. I am a local resident and have children who would definitely use many if the facilities. I also work at Swarco UK (doug.mather@swarco.com / 07970838806 ) who can help with EV Charging and Parking.

4. The proposed facilities will also encourage visitors to park in residential streets creating more congestion and lack of parking for residents and effecting the peace.

5. More thought placed into seperating the new developments from the existing calm and peaceful playing field. If the two easterly Junior pitches marked 1 on the masterplan and the Gaelic training pitch marked 2 on the masterplan were removed I would happily support this plan as it would leave the west side developed and the east side undeveloped which would link up nicely with wyatts farm open space and beyond. As it is I cannot support it.

6. I would be very interest have a dedicated area reserved for flying rc racing drones fpv, an area where it's safe flying and organise competition in racing drone fpv industry.

7. It is more important to teach people the importance of the meaning of green belt land and to create an interest in nature not tarmac over a land that will then never be replaced, we are losing our open spaces.

8. Need to make sure access is ok from A1000

9. I would like to see the council working closely with local people and local organisations in this project, and in particular have community leadership in providing activities and running the centre/cafe

10. The Dollis Valley nature walk is fantastic and with the addition of new facilities at the King George V playing field end this will really enhance the area, the offer for young people and put Barnet on the map

11. I think it's wonderful that there's a plan to invest more in our outside spaces. This plan will improve the lives of the whole community, from young and to old. Our kids need to be encouraged to do more sport and spend more time outside. We'll reap the benefits for years to come!

12. With the crime rate in London being so high an area like this is great for keeping kids active. I especially like the Gaelic football ground as there isn’t many around and it’s a very popular sport for all children both boys and girls. A great asset for Barnet

13. I am concerned that some who are unfamiliar with Gaelic football may view it as an insular minority sport for the Irish community. As an English parent of children aged 12 and 10 who started playing Gaelic football with St Kiernan's GFC some four years ago I would like to emphasise how the club has grown over recent years, including that the club has in recent seasons established successful dedicated girls teams. There are a number of players and family members involved in the club that do not have Irish origins, or are from ethnic minority communities. The sport in London includes youth teams from Dulwich College whose players are predominately Afro-Caribbean.

14. Culture and variety as well as family are guaranteed and sustainable with the proposal of a Gaelic games pitches. My son plays Gaelic football and loves it but also the friendship and shared culture of the kids and families that are involved this give a a secure future I fully support it.

15. Having a Gaelic football pitch is essential

16. Good range of alternative sports being considered

17. Gealic and football and rugby pitches and changing provisions and club house is essential.

18. I think the council have go the balance right as the overall scheme is very appealing and will give an area for the whole community to be active which can only be a good thing in this modern age. It is great to see the council in creating an area that will be a great benefit to the whole community.

19. V
20. Barnet is in desperate need of a decent park and play area. The facilities at the courthouse park are very uninspiring and in great need of updating too.

21. Q3 cont ... robust for studs. will there be lighting around the pitches for winter season or late evening usage? I have concerns about public transport availability as these facilities should not just be available to people living within walking distance or have cars. Traffic in that area is an issue. What used to take 10 minutes to get to GAA training will now take at least 1 hour. It is my loyalty to the club that will stop me/my children transferring to a different GAA club.

22. Gaelic football has given my sons an avenue of sport that they had never experienced before and we look forward to having a new facility in the King George Playing Fields.

23. This project is very important. It will help to stop a lot of children falling into the trap of gaming and social media.

24. I think the plans look great. Projects like this are a great idea and well needed. Will be very good for the community once completed.

25. IMPROVED PARKS ARE GOOD FOR EVERYONE

26. Good luck and don’t let others try to deter you from seeing this through.

27. The Gaelic Football Pitches and Facilities are badly needed, this facility will be heavily used and will provide a great social support system for many children. The purpose built facility will be of a great benefit in providing children and adults with a safe area, designated for Gaelic Football.

28. If separate entrance and exit routes could be created for the car parking areas this will be very helpful to avoid severe traffic congestion in Barnet lane.

29. Please, please, please build a skate park in the area. And for older people looking to get fit, some sort of cycling circuit. I would use it in an instant.

30. It would be really helpful if more than one public drop-in could be arranged.

31. Will there be earthworks carried out to create more level pitches in the area indicated as 11 v 11 junior pitches (U13/14) areas? Are the changing rooms large enough to cater for all of the pitches being in use? Do the changing rooms include shower facilities? Considering the activities planned on the site should there be some first aid provision?

32. I hope this does go ahead. Fingers crossed.

33. Over development of the area, too much space will be used up and it will change the character of the spaces forever.

34. Are their showers being included in the changing rooms?

35. Safe road crossings are urgently required from the west to access the site from Brook Valley Gardens.

36. Great use of this already popular area for dog walkers, cyclists and families.

37. Barnet needs this.

38. As stated before looking at and use Brook farm.

39. In the proposed plan I don’t really see any provision for parking, which invariably would mean that people would park in the surrounding neighbourhood. It’s already an issue with the current set up with the Hadley 3g pitches, plus general increased amount of traffic, which will no doubt be worse once the School has been completed.

40. What a fantastic opportunity for the residents of Barnet!

41. Yes, god forbid the plans go already what time will the park close, how much more traffic and noise. This is a residential area, additional cars and traffic from the school now you are looking to squeeze more it. Please keep as is.

42. I think the overall Masterplan would bring a lot to the community and fill some gaps that are currently missing.

There aren’t many, if any, outdoor fitness training areas for adults like a calisthenics/bar park, in the High Barnet. This type of body weight training is very popular and this can be seen through social media or attending one of the few calisthenics parks in London. These are a relatively low cost to implement yet offer a free training facility for a wide demographic from a young age.
The addition of child and toddler friendly facilities is also much needed. The current offering in High Barnet isn't that great and you have to travel further out (Woodside Park, for example) for equipment that feels more modern and challenging, yet safer.

Following on from this the addition of toilets is essential and a café is nice to have to rest after using the facilities or to socialise with friends.

43. What about the table tennis club?

44. Concerned about lack of someone looking after and maintaining certain areas

45. Since Barnet Council, effectively, threw Barnet & District Athletics Club out of the Borough by demanding outrageously high lease renewal terms, why is there no provision for athletics to be returned to this side of the Borough?

46. Comments as per question 3 and 4.

47. The plans are currently incorrect with public parking shown as being in the Barnet Table tennis club which is incorrect as it is a private car park. The area is already congested with the football ground so close to the table tennis club. During busy times, cars cannot pass each other so Barnet Lane gets very congested with traffic spilling back onto the road. A separate entrance would be needed for the football ground and for this new development to avoid any more mayhem by both existing clubs. Parking must be of a priority or the whole area would get even worse. The Barnet table tennis centre has plans for the future to expand as the land is available but it would lose some parking spaces which is a major factor.

48. I support some of the improvements but at present the scheme is too large with some glaring problems. Size and landscaping don’t sufficiently reflect that this is green belt.

- Skate park location is unsuitable - it will cause disturbance to nearby houses and is hidden by adventurous play making parents’ supervision difficult, possibly leading to bullying and anti-social behaviour. Also tight site gives a built up rather than green look.

Better located on other side of adventurous play near toddler play, outdoor gym etc so parents can supervise, perhaps instead of one or more junior pitches (4 proposed).

- Extension to car park below Ark is very dangerous and unsuitable. Its’ already narrow and blocked when just one car turns/backs out. Extending further via a blind bend will lead to traffic queues, pollution and accidents.

- Possible alternative making parking area wider by re-siting existing grass pitch or preferably a larger carpark where Gaelic pitch proposed with a re-sited foot/ cycle bridge connection across the brook.

- Reduce number of junior pitches and/or the Gaelic football area. Indoor facilities could alternatively also be sited here.

- Is a cycle lane on Fairfield Way/Grasvenor Ave proposed? There is inadequate width. If parking is restricted this will compound problems already likely to be caused by the extended facilities and new Ark Academy.

- 3m cycle routes in park too wide- 2 m adequate and would reduce the built-up look

- There is currently no funding. What phasing is likely?

49. I note that there is no mention of improvement to the old play area (at the Grasvenor Ave. entrance). This is very rundown and just seems to be used as a public convenience or drugs dealing. Similarly the adjacent millenium woods is just as neglected. Even the plaques are missing and never replaced.

I would like to mention how VERY poorly publicised this proposal was made. I live across the road from the park entrance and the first I knew of the developments was a sign I saw the other weekend attached to the existing playarea. I walk most evenings from Totteridge through to Grasvenor Ave. and never saw any notices of the proposed developments. Nor were there any notices in Grasvenor Ave. My family and immediate neighbours were similarly ignorant of the proposal. We haven't had local papers delivered for ages so if anything was in there we likely would have missed it. As a resident living in the vicinity (directly opposite the park entrance) I would have expected at the very minimum information leaflets posted.

50. I feel the whole project, if implemented, would completely alter the character of the playing fields. Along with the recent fencing off of the field by Barnet lane and the requirements of the new school, the whole area is being shifted from an attractive general purpose recreation area, to a more managed and less open space, something like a spread out leisure centre.

The great increase in parking spaces along with the covered halls and the BMX/skating area would have a particular impact in shifting the area from a green space to a built up area.

51. The facilities proposed would be extremely beneficial to the area

52. I do not like the plan and would have thought that local residents may have been consulted about this

53. I do not understand why money is going to be spent on a park that has previously had leisure improvements which were all
I do not understand why money is going to be spent on a park, that has previously had leisure improvements which were all ruined or not maintained. There has also been a pavilion and huts in the park all of which have been destroyed by fire. What evidence is there that any of this will be treated any differently.

With regards to the Gaelic football pitches and clubhouse, is there an established team to use this. With regards to the Astro Turf pitches, will these be for anyone to use?

Will the skate park have lighting, as the area proposed is exactly where a group of youths already "hang out" nearly every evening (weather permitting) causing anti-social behaviour. This has been reported in the past, but now I have given up and put up with it until early hours.

I have concerns regarding limited parking which I believe will be used by ARK academy and also the problems increased traffic will cause. The Academy should be bedded in before any more changes to local infrastructure.

I am unhappy with all the extra parking proposed. This is green Belt Land and it is gradually being developed. Already large area fenced off for private football club. There needs to be much better landscaping with more trees and denser hedges. Think Skate Park could provide an area for anti social behaviour. I wonder why the cricket pavilion is not used as site for café & other facilities.

Who is going to pay for all this?

I have concerns regarding limited parking which I believe will be used by ARK academy and also the problems increased traffic will cause. The Academy should be bedded in before any more changes to local infrastructure.

I am unhappy with all the extra parking proposed. This is green Belt Land and it is gradually being developed. Already large area fenced off for private football club. There needs to be much better landscaping with more trees and denser hedges. Think Skate Park could provide an area for anti social behaviour. I wonder why the cricket pavilion is not used as site for café & other facilities.

Who is going to pay for all this?

As I have said, if we are to have a well designed, good quality new design, then please get together with local stakeholders and let’s all make an informed decision.

Concerned regarding local traffic issues.

See earlier comments, we already have a lot of these facilities within easy reach however we do not have an indoor sports hall within one hour travelling distance.

This area is really crying out for improvement. I am a local resident and the playing fields and the current playground are inadequate. The lack of toilets makes it unsuitable for young children to spend any length of time there.

The plans would bring a real benefit to the local area and improve what is a very much overlooked and wasted parcel of land.

Very pleased with these ideas for development of our local park. It will encourage our children to be outside more and to exercise & have more fun. The current swings, roundabout and climbing frame are very dated, very poorly looked after and only suited to the very young. We need more fun areas like this for families to enjoy outside activities. Well done Barnet!

Great to see the inclusion of the gaelic football pitch which will provide a home for gaa in the north of the borough and replace the pitches which are removed from montrose.

I think it would be an excellent use of Green belt land.

Almost seems too good to be true looking at the current plans. My fear is Barnet council will not actually invest. I fear that this is part of a deal for the land being given to build the school next door, and the usual developer trick of setting up an independent company for this project, which then sadly folds, meaning the project never happens is a possibility. Maybe I’m cynical maybe I just don’t want to get my hopes up and have them dashed, but I know if this project does happen it will be a massive boast to the whole community.

For the skatepark I am very supportive of this but when planning the layout/obstacles can you ensure there are sufficient smaller ramps/ledges/bars and flat ground for younger children or beginners to the sport? A lot of these skateparks like to have lots of big ramps, and whilst they may look impressive for most abilities they are too big to enjoy properly.

Keep the green belt green!!! Enough buildings around the area improve the existing facilities. Do NOT worsen our natural environment by concrete buildings.

This 'masterplan' to develop on protected Green Belt land is an absolute disgrace and the Conservative led Barnet Council administration should be ashamed of themselves for even considering it. The proposals come on top of the heavily criticised Ark Academy development, and would prove a nightmare for nearby residents. Also the lack of any proper consultation (residents living within less than a quarter of a mile of this 'masterplan' had no knowledge of this and were not informed of this development by the Council). This ridiculous proposal should be firmly rejected - Green Belt land is precious and must be protected from crazy schemes such as this.

whilst it is not intentionally a male only plan - not enough is for female activity (an area that needs promotion - as many women stop sport during secondary school)

68. The key issues I see are:

- inadequate indoor facilities for badminton players (and no others provided nearby),
- basic climbing centre - a proper climbing centre with bouldering could be used by adults and children.
- the space to be used by the Gaelic football club will not benefit the local community as much as it could. Just because they have been moved from elsewhere does not mean this is the most appropriate use of space for local residents.

69. I fully support this. The park and open space is a fabulous thing to have but the lack of good facilities (play park, no toilets etc) means a lot of people don’t use it.
I would like some reassurance that parking will be sufficient and not used by staff at the Ark (which doesn’t have enough for the staff levels). My concern is people will be forced to park on local streets increasing congestion and making the roads less safe for local families.

70. This will attract more cars and Barnet Lane is already very dangerous to walk or cycle along. The crossing point from Dollis Green Walk is just an island in the road and is very difficult to see up the road towards Totteridge. The entrance to the football pitches will create more traffic and make it more dangerous for people trying to cross the road or walk up towards the stables.

71. As a local resident only a few hundred yards from the proposed facility, I am utterly disgusted at the way in which this plan has been implemented so far. As a frequent user of the above mentioned areas I am very interested in any changes or developments to the area and it is only by chance, (by viewing the Barnet Society webpage) that I found out about this proposal, and by then I had missed the consultation presentation that took place. Surely all parties living in the affected area should have been contacted prior to the consultation starting so that a balanced view could be obtained. As it stands, there has already been a development on this site to install a number of football pitches which has consumed over a third of this greenbelt space, (again with no consultation being awarded to the local residents). One of the reasons I moved to this area was to have the facility to use the greenbelt spaces to unwind and enjoy a bit of peace but this proposal would end this for myself and also many residents in this area. I am not against the proposal; I am just against it in this unsuitable location. Could you please in the future, ensure that the local residents are fully aware of any proposals, presentations and decisions that take place that may affect this area.

72. I am writing on behalf of Barnet Residents Association. BRA generally supports the draft plan but make the following observations and comments:
   1. We believe that a number of areas are under utilised e.g. play areas specifically that they are now outdated and need updating.
   2. The football/3G pitches are regularly in use especially at the weekend so demand is high.
   3. It will provide a unique community sports hub not currently provided in the locality.
   4. Will appeal to all ages.
   5. Will put Barnet on the map from a health and wellbeing perspective.
   6. Improve health and help build a community feel and engender new social friendships.
   7. Provides unique fitness environment/focus for young adolescents (kids something to do).
   8. Provide a new home to St Kiernan’s Gaelic Football Club. This assumes that two pitches are required and that the club are positive about the move.
   9. New tree and bulb planting to support wild life and improve air quality is welcome.
   10. It is assumed that there is synergy (and sufficient demand) of the facilities proposed in this draft plan and those to be offered by the New Barnet Leisure Centre, which is already under construction.
   11. Consideration should be given to car journeys and the impact on traffic on Barnet Lane.
   12. Consideration also needs to be given to bike and pedestrian routes so they are safe, well lit and don’t impact on residents living on Priory Grove and Grasvenor Ave.

Ken Rowland
Chairman, Barnet Residents Association.

73. Although I am in favour of proposed redevelopment I don’t think there has been enough thought or consultation in particular in regards to the Ark Academy development which will put a huge strain on the roads in our area. We should wait and see the impact of this before proceeding with further development in the area. If this should go ahead then I would definitely want to see the BMX area sited away from housing. One more point, where has the consultation been with schools, in particular Grasvenor Avenue which uses the park daily.

74. No concrete buildings minimal disruption to our green land. A cafe and toilets are the only thing needed.

75. The Barnet Society welcomes the Council’s intention to restore Barnet & King George V Playing Fields, and to widen public access by providing a café with toilet facilities and play areas for children and their parents or carers. However, we consider that
the development suggested in the master plan would be too intrusive, set a bad precedent for developments in the Green Belt, and requires a re-think.

76. I overlook the playing fields, we are plagued by noise. At the moment day and night from the new floodlight pitches and that can only increase. When football is played now we can hear very bad language in our home. A lot of anti social behaviour takes place over the playing fields including drug taking I can see that increasing. By bringing more people on to the playing fields it will increase traffic, getting out of Fairfield Way which is becoming very difficult now, when the Ark opens that will increase and if this playing field ideas happen it will increase yet again. How is the proposal going to be funded? I can think of far more important things that could do with more funding in this area instead of facilities that are only for a few people. No No No

Q8

Q6: Do you live: (Please tick one option only)

Answered: 220  Skipped: 76

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locally (within 15 minutes walking distance)</td>
<td>68.18%</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not local but in the borough of Barnet</td>
<td>22.27%</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In another London Borough</td>
<td>8.18%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Please Specify)</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. (Empty )
2. I live just outside Barnet border with Brent but spend a lot of time in Barnet
3. (Empty )
4. Within 10 minutes driving distance dependent on traffic
5. (Empty )
6. Potters Bar, Herts
7. (Empty )
8. (Empty )
9. whetstone 20 min cycle through brook farm

10. ( Empty )

11. hertfordshire

12. Herts

13. Live on the borough border of Barnet and Harrow

14. ( Empty )

15. ( Empty )

16. Harrow

17. ( Empty )

18. ( Empty )

19. Haringey

20. ( Empty )

21. 15 min bus ride but I would definitely use a facility like this

22. ( Empty )

Q9

Q7: What is your ethnic origin? (Please tick one option only)

Answered: 216  Skipped: 80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Origin</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Asian British: Bangladeshi</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Asian British: Chinese</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African/Caribbean/B...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed/Multiple ethnic gro...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ethnic group: Arab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: Greek / Greek Cypriot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: Turkish / Turkish C...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic Group</td>
<td>Response Percent</td>
<td>Response Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Asian British: Indian</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Asian British: Pakistani</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African/Caribbean/Black British: African</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African/Caribbean/Black British: Black British</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African/Caribbean/Black British: Caribbean</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups: White &amp; Asian</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups: White &amp; Black African</td>
<td>1.39%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups: White &amp; Black Caribbean</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ethnic group: Arab</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: British</td>
<td>56.94%</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: Greek / Greek Cypriot</td>
<td>1.39%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: Gypsy or Irish Traveller</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: Irish</td>
<td>21.76%</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: Turkish / Turkish Cypriot</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>4.17%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other (Please Specify)</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.63%</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Latin American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. other white</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Australian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. White European</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Italian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Jewish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. White other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. white other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Czech</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. White Italian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q10

Q8: Do you consider yourself to be living with a disability? (Please tick one option only)

Answered: 221  Skipped: 75

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10.41%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>88.69%</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q11

Q9: If you answered ‘yes’, please select the definition(s) from the list below that best describes your disability/disabilities.

Answered: 27  Skipped: 269
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hearing (such as deaf, partially deaf or hard of hearing)</td>
<td>14.81%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision (such as blind or fractional/partial sight. Does not include people whose visual problems can be corrected by glasses/contact lenses)</td>
<td>7.41%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech (such as impairments that can cause communication problems)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility (such as wheelchair user, artificial lower limb(s), walking aids, rheumatism or arthritis)</td>
<td>22.22%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced Physical Capacity (such as inability to lift, carry or otherwise move everyday objects, debilitating pain and lack of strength, breath energy or stamina, asthma, angina or diabetes)</td>
<td>22.22%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Disfigurement</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Difficulties (such as dyslexia)</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Illness (substantial and lasting more than a year, such as severe depression or psychoses)</td>
<td>25.93%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Co-ordination (such as manual dexterity, muscular control, cerebral palsy)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other disability (Please Specify)**

1. ( Empty )
2. I
3. Aphasia
4. ( Empty )
5. paralysed left arm
6. severe epilepsy
7. severe stress
Q10: Are you: (Please tick one option only)

Answered: 218   Skipped: 78

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>50.46%</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>45.87%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>3.67%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q11: Are you completing this questionnaire on someone else's behalf? Please tick one option only.
Answered: 221  Skipped: 75

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes - I am completing this questionnaire on someone else's behalf.</td>
<td>7.24%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No - I am completing this questionnaire for myself.</td>
<td>88.69%</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Please Specify)</td>
<td>4.07%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Submitted on behalf of barnet Residents Association.
2. (Empty)
3. I'm completing to support St Kiernans and my children
4. (Empty)
5. O
6. (Empty)
7. (Empty)
8. (Empty)
9. (Empty)